1	Research Article (Original Article)
2	Different types of primary ideals of near-rings and their graphs
3	(Adnan Muhammad $^{1*}$ , Muhammad Ashraf $^1$ , Waheed Ahmad Khan $^2$ )
4	$^{1}$ (Department of Mathematics, University of Wah, Wah Cantt, Pakistan)
5	$^2$ (Department of Mathematics, University of Education Lahore, Attock Campus, Pakistan)
6	* Corresponding author, Email address: adnanmuhammad216@gmail.com
7	Abstract
8	From the last few decades the study of the graph theory based on algebraic structures have been
9	taken into account. Many researchers have studied the graphs via groups, rings, near-rings and
10	seminearrings and vice versa. In our study, first we introduce different type of $v$ -primary ideals
11	(v = 0, 1, 2, 3, c) which are the generalization of v-prime ideals $(v = 0, 1, 2, 3, c)$ in near-rings.
12	Then, we provide some important characterizations of these newly initiated ideals. In addition,
13	we explore some relationships among these ideals. Throughout, we furnish our results by
14	providing suitable examples. Finally, as an application, we provide characterizations of different
15	graphs associated with these v-primary ideals ( $v = 0, 1, 2, 3, c$ ) in near-rings.
16	Keywords: near-rings, prime ideal, almost prime ideal, primary ideal, graph.

## 17 **1. Introduction**

18 A (right) near-ring is an algebraic structure N with operation "+" and "·" where N is a 19 group under "+", semigroup under "·" and N satisfies (right) distributive law i.e., for any a, b, c20  $\in N$ ;  $(a + b) \cdot c = (a \cdot c) + (b \cdot c)$ . Similarly, one can define a left near-ring by introducing

21 the left distributive law. We refer (Pilz, 2011) for the fundamental concepts and notions of near-22 rings. In this note, we deal with (right) near-ring. A subset I of a near-ring N is said to be a right ideal of N if: (i)  $(I, +) \leq (N, +)$ , (ii) For every  $IN \subseteq I$ . Similarly, I is said to be left ideal if (i) 23  $(I, +) \leq (N, +), (ii) a_1(a_2 + i) - a_1a_2 \in I$ , for all  $a_1, a_2 \in N$  and  $i \in I$ . If I is a left as well as 24 25 right ideal of a near-ring, then I is called simply an ideal of near-ring. An ideal I is said to be prime ideal of N if  $I_1I_2 \subseteq I \Rightarrow I_1 \subseteq I$  or  $I_2 \subseteq I$  where  $I_1$  and  $I_2$  are all ideals of N. Various types 26 of prime ideals in literature have been discussed in ((Birkenmeier, Heatherly & Lee 1993), 27 28 (Fröhlich, 1958), (Holcombe, 1970) & (Ramakotaiah & Rao, 1979)). Almost prime ideal has 29 been endorsed by B. Elavarasan (Elavarasan, 2011) in near-rings. I is called an almost prime ideal if for  $I_1I_2 \subseteq I$  and  $I_1I_2 \not\subseteq I^2 \Longrightarrow I_1 \subseteq I$  or  $I_2 \subseteq I$  where  $I_1$  and  $I_2$  are ideals of N. Further 30 31 author recognized some relationships involving almost prime and prime ideals as well in 32 (Elavarasan, 2011). Recently, almost prime ideal have been introduced in gamma near-ring by (Khan, Muhammad, Taouti & Maki, 2018). Notions of 0-(1-2)-prime ideals have been defined 33 34 in ((Birkenmeier, Heatherly & Lee 1993), (Holcombe, 1970) & (Ramakotaiah & Rao, 1979)). 35 Subsequently, (Ramakotaiah & Rao, 1979) introduced the notions of 0-prime, 1-prime and 2prime ideals of a near-rings. Following (Birkenmeier, Heatherly & Lee, 1993), I is said to be a 36 37 type-zero or simply a prime ideal of N if  $I_1I_2 \subseteq I \Rightarrow I_1 \subseteq I$  or  $I_2 \subseteq I$ . Further, I is called 3prime ideal of N if  $aNb \subseteq I$  then  $a \in I$  or  $b \in I$  (Groenewald, 1991). Likewise, I is called 2-38 39 prime ideal if for two subgroup  $K_1$ ,  $K_2$  of (N, +) such that  $K_1K_2 \subseteq I \Rightarrow K_1 \subseteq I$  or  $K_2 \subseteq I$ . It is well-known that 3-prime implies 2-prime implies 1-prime implies 0-prime, however the 40 41 converse doesn't exist in any of the implication. Recently, *P*-ideals and their *P*-properties in near-rings have been introduced in (Atagun, KamacI, Tastekin & Sezgin, 2019). On the other 42 hand, few concepts of near-rings have been shifted towards seminearrings in (Koppula, 43 Kedukodi & Kuncham, 2020). A. Ali introduced commutativity of a 3-prime near-ring on 44 Jordan ideals in (Ali, 2020). G. Wendt discussed the primeness and primitivity of near-rings in 45

(Wendt, 2021). Further, T. Gaketem introduced some application of ideals of nLA-rings in
(Gaketem, 2022). Recently, completely 2-absorbing ideals of N-groups have been discussed in
(Sahoo, Shetty, Groenewald, Harikrishnan & Kuncham, 2021).

49 Graph theory is an important subject which converts algebraic structures in to the 50 graphs for batter understanding. We refer ((Godsil & Royle, 2001), (Bondy & Murty, 1976)) for 51 basic concepts of graph theory. In (Beck, 1988) author introduced the graph of commutative 52 ring by considering the elements of ring as vertices of graph and there exist an edge between vertices x, y if x, y = 0. Further, (Anderson & Livingston, 1999) associate graph to 53 54 commutative ring by using the concepts of zero divisors graph. In (Lipkovski, 2012) author 55 associates the digraph with commutative ring and also discussed some of the properties related 56 to degree and loop of digraph. In (Hausken & Skinner, 2013) author introduced digraph of 57 commutative rings and also discussed some properties of digraph of commutative ring which 58 gives the information about ring. Moreover, (Bhavanari, Kunham & Kedukodi, 2010) define the 59 graph of an ideal of near-ring and also introduce the terminologies strong vertex cut and ideal 60 symmetric graph. Prohelika Das (Das, 2016) define the diameter, girth and coloring of the 61 strong zero-divisor graph of near-rings. Recently, graph of prime intersection of ideals in ring 62 have been introduced in (Rajkhowa & Saikia, 2020).

In this study, we define the notions of v-primary ideals (v = 0, 1, 2, 3, c) which are the 63 generalizations of v-prime ideals (v = 0, 1, 2, 3, c) in near-rings. Further, we investigate that 0-64 prime ideal is always 0-primary but converse is not true. We also establish the relations among 65 different v-primary ideals (v = 0, 1, 2, 3, c) as well as with v-prime ideals and verify these 66 67 relations by suitable examples. Furthermore, several characterizations are obtained and 68 supported by suitable examples. Finally, we define the graphs of v-primary ideals (v =69 (0,1,2,3,c) in near-ring and verify these definitions of v-primary ideals (v = 0,1,2,3,c) by using 70 the concepts of subgraphs.

#### 71 **2. Primary ideals in near-rings**

72 In this section, we introduce and discuss different types of v-primary ideals (v = 0,1,2,3,c) of 73 near-rings. We also investigate some relationships among them.

74 **Definition 2.1** A proper ideal *P* of near-ring N is called 0-primary if for all  $I_1, I_2$  are 75 ideals of *N* so that  $I_1I_2 \subseteq P \Rightarrow I_1 \subseteq P$  or  $I_2^n \subseteq P$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ .

76 **Example 2.2** Let  $N = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}$  be a right near-ring with two operations 77 defined in the tables set **1**.

Here, {0}, {0, 1}, {0, 2}, {0, 1, 2, 3} and *N* are all ideals of *N*. If we choose,  $P = \{0, 2\}$  then for all  $I_1$ ,  $I_2$  of *N* such that  $I_1I_2 \subseteq P$  must implies  $I_1 \subseteq P$  or  $I_2^n \subseteq P$ . For clarification we can take  $I_1 = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$  and  $I_2 = \{0, 1\}$  are ideals of *N* such that  $I_1I_2 = \{0\} \subseteq P$  implies  $I_2^2 \subseteq P$ . This implies that *P* is 0-primary ideal of *N*. Similarly, one can justify for the remaining ideals.

83 **Proposition 2.3** Let *I* be an ideal of a zero-symmetric near-ring *N*. Then, *I* is a 0-84 primary ideal if and only if every zero-divisor in N/I is nilpotent.

Proof. Let *I* be a 0-primary ideal and consider *N/I* is a non-trivial. Let  $n + I \in N/I$  be a zero-divisor and  $n_1 \in N/I$ . Let  $n_1n + I = (n_1 + I)(n + I) = 0 + I \Rightarrow n_1n \in I$ ,  $n_1 \notin I \Rightarrow$  $n^k \in I$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Hence  $(n + I)^k = n^k + I = 0 + I \Rightarrow n + I$  is nilpotent. Conversely, let *N/I* be a non-trivial and every nonzero zero-divisor in *N/I* is nilpotent. Since  $I \neq N$ , let  $n_1$ ,  $n_2 \in N$  such that  $n_1 \cdot n_2 \in I$ , then each  $n_1 \in I$  or  $n_1 \notin I$ , suppose  $n_1 \notin I$  then consider  $(n_2 + I)(n_1 + I) = n_2 \cdot n_1 + I = 0 + I \Rightarrow n_2 \cdot n_1 = 0$ , so  $n_2 + I$  is a zero-divisor and there exist k >0 such that  $n_2^k + I = (n_2 + I)^k = I \Rightarrow n_2^k \in I$ , hence *I* is a 0-primary ideal.

92	<b>Example 2.4</b> Suppose $N = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ be a zero-symmetric near-ring under the addition
93	and multiplication defined in the tables set 2.

94 Clearly, P = {0, 1} is 0-primary ideal and the quotient N/P = {0 + P, 2 + P} along
95 with operations given in tables set 3.

- Here the zero divisors of N/P are 0 + P and 2 + P, which are nilpotents.
- 97 Intersection of any two 0-primary ideals of a near-ring is a 0-primary ideal, we provide98 an example for this fact below.
- **Example 2.5** Suppose  $N = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$  be a commutative near-ring with " + " and " · " defined in the tables set 2 in Example 2.4. Let us consider 0-primary ideals are  $P_1 = \{0, 1\}$  and  $P_2 = \{0, 2\}$  of a near-ring N. And  $P_1 \cap P_2 = \{0\}$  is also a 0-primary ideal of N.

102 **Proposition 2.6** Each 0-prime is a 0-primary ideal of *N*.

- 103 *Proof.* Let *P* be a 0-prime ideal of a near-ring *N*. Then, *ab* ∈ *P* implies *a* ∈ *P* or *b* ∈ *P*,
  104 for all *a*, *b* ∈ *N* while considering *n* = 1, the result follows.
- 105 Remark 2.7 Each maximal ideal of N is 0-prime and hence a 0-primary ideal. It
  106 implies that a maximal ideal is a 0-primary.

107 **Definition 2.8** A proper ideal *I* of a near-ring *N* is said to be a semi-primary ideal, if for 108 ideal *J* of  $N, J^2 \subseteq I \Rightarrow J \subseteq I$ .

109 It is well known that the intersection of prime ideals in near-ring is also a semi-prime 110 ideal. We also know that the intersection of minimal prime ideals of N is a semi-prime ideal of 111 N such that the ideal I can be written as the intersection of all prime ideals containing I. However, the intersection of two primary ideals need not be a semi-primary ideal for instance see in Example 2.5 i.e.,  $I = \{0\}$  is the intersection of **0**-primary ideals  $\{0, 1\}$  and  $\{0, 2\}$ , but *I* is

114 not a semi-primary ideal i.e.,  $P_2^2 \subseteq \{0\} = I$ , but  $P_2 \nsubseteq I$ .

**Remark 2.9** Every **0**-primary ideal is not a semi-primary ideal.

To verify above remarks we refer Example 2.5, in which {0, 2} is 0-primary ideal but
not a semi-primary ideal.

118 **Definition 2.10** A proper ideal *P* of *N* is called 1-primary ideal, if for all  $I_1, I_2$  are right 119 ideals of N, such that  $I_1I_2 \subseteq P \Rightarrow I_1 \subseteq P$  or  $I_2^n \subseteq P$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ .

120 Example 2.11 Consider  $N = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}$  be a right near-ring with addition 121 and multiplication defined in the tables set 4.

Here {0}, {0, 2, 4, 6}, {0, 2} and *N* are right ideals of *N*. Suppose  $P = \{0, 4\}$ , then for all right ideals  $I_1, I_2$  of *N*, such that  $I_1I_2 \subseteq P$  must implies  $I_1 \subseteq P$  or  $I_2^n \subseteq P$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . To verify this let us consider  $I_1 = \{0, 2, 4, 6\}$  and  $I_2 = \{0, 2\}$  are non-travail right ideals of *N*, such that  $I_1I_2 = \{0, 4\} \subseteq P$  implies  $I_1 \notin P$  but  $I_2^2 = \{0\} \subseteq P$ . As a result, *P* is 1-primary ideal of *N*.

127 **Definition 2.12** A proper ideal *P* of *N* is called 2-primary ideal if for all N-subgroups  $I_1$ 128 and  $I_2$ , such that  $I_1I_2 \subseteq P \Rightarrow I_1 \subseteq P$  or  $I_2^n \subseteq P$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ .

129 **Proposition 2.13** Let *N* be a near-ring. Then the given statements are equivalent.

130 (i) P is a 2-primary ideal of N.

131 (ii) If A be an N-subgroup and B is an ideal of N, then  $AB \subseteq P$  implies  $A \subseteq P$  or  $B^k \subseteq$ 132 P where  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . 133 Proof. (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) If P is 2-primary ideal and B is an N-subgroup then (ii) is 134 straightaway.

135 (*ii*)  $\Rightarrow$  (*i*) Let *A* and *B* are two *N*-subgroups of *N* such that  $AB \subseteq P$ . Let *A* is not a 136 subset of *P* and assume that  $B^k \subseteq (P:A) = \{n \in N: An \subseteq P\} = S$ . Since, *S* is an ideal of *N*, so 137 if  $r \in S$  and  $n, n_1 \in N$ , then for all  $a \in A, a(-n+r+n) = -an + ar + an \in P$ , as *P* is an 138 ideal. Thus,  $a[(n+r) n_1 - nn_1] = (an + ar)n_1 - ann_1 \in P$  which implies  $Anr \subseteq Ar \subseteq P$ . 139 Hence  $AS \subseteq P$  but we have assumed that  $A \nsubseteq P$  implies  $S \subseteq P$  so  $B^k \subseteq S \subseteq P$ .

140 **Proposition 2.14** Let *P* be a 2-primary ideal and  $A_1, \ldots, A_k$  are *N*-subgroups. Then 141  $A_1A_2 \ldots A_k \subseteq P$  implies  $A_i^n \subseteq P$  for some  $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ .

142 Proof. Let  $A_1A_2 \ldots A_k \subseteq P$  and  $A_1 \notin P$  such that  $(A_2, \ldots, A_k)^n \subseteq (P:A_1)$ . Thus  $A_1 \cdot (P:A_1) \subseteq P$  implies  $(P:A_1) \subseteq P$  given that P is 2-primary ideal. By using Proposition 2.13 (ii), we get  $(A_2, \ldots, A_k)^n \subseteq P$ . Similarly, we can repeat procedure for  $A_2 \notin P$  and eventually  $A_i^n \subseteq P$  for some  $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$  where  $i \neq 2$ .

146 **Definition 2.15** An ideal *P* of *N* is called 3-primary ideal if for all  $x, y \in N$ ,  $xNy \subseteq P$ 147 implies  $x \in P$  or  $y^n \in P$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ .

148 **Example 2.16** Let  $N = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}$  be a (right) near-ring under the addition 149 and multiplication defined in tables set 5.

150 Here, P = {0, 6} is a 3-primary ideal of N. One can check for all x, y ∈ N, xNy ⊆ P
151 must implies x ∈ P or y<sup>n</sup> ∈ P for some n ∈ Z<sup>+</sup>.

152 Definition 2.17 A proper ideal *P* of *N* is called (completely) *c*-primary ideal if for all *x*,
153 *y* ∈ *N*, *xy* ∈ *P* ⇒ *x* ∈ *P* or *y<sup>n</sup>* ∈ *P* for some *n* ∈ Z<sup>+</sup>.

154 **Example 2.18** Suppose  $N = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ , where "+" and "·" are defined in the 155 tables set 6.

156 Clearly, right ideal  $P = \{0, 2, 4\}$  satisfies the conditions of *c*-primary ideal of *N* as  $1 \cdot 3 = 0 \in P$  implies  $3^2 = 0 \in P$  and  $5 \cdot 3 = 0 \Rightarrow 3^2 = 0 \in P$ .

Refer to Example 2.11, it is easy to verify that  $P = \{0, 4\}$  is a 0-primary ideal. Thus, every 1-primary ideal is a 0-primary ideal. From Example 2.11, we have observed that an ideal  $P = \{0, 4\}$  is 1-primary ideal but it is not 1-prime ideal. Similarly, from Example 2.16, we can see that  $P = \{0, 6\}$  is 3-primary ideal but it is not 3-prime ideal as  $3N7 = \{0\} \subseteq P$  but 3 or 7 doesn't belong to *P*. Similarly, in Example 2.18,  $P = \{0, 2, 4\}$  is a *c*-primary ideal which is not a *c*-prime ideal. However, it is easy to verify that an ideal *P* is simultaneously *c*-primary, 3primary, 2-primary, 1-primary and 0-primary ideal. Hence, we concluded that:

165 
$$c - \text{primary} \Rightarrow 3 - \text{primary} \Rightarrow 2 - \text{primary} \Rightarrow 1 - \text{primary} \Rightarrow 0 - \text{primary}$$

Refer to Example 2.11, {0, 4} is the *v*-primary ideal (v = 0,1,2,3,c) which is the justification of above implications. But the converse doesn't hold true in the above implication. Because, in Example 2.2, {0} is 0-primary ideal but not 1-primary ideal. Similarly, {0} is not 3-primary ideal i.e,  $3N4 = \{0\} \subseteq \{0\}$  but 3,  $4 \notin \{0\}$  or  $3^n$ ,  $4^n \notin \{0\}$  for some  $n \in Z^+$ . Further, One can check that {0} is not a *c*-primary ideal. In Example 2.11, {0} is a 1-primary ideal but not 2primary. Simalarly, {0} is not a 3-primary ideal i.e,  $3N7 = \{0\} \subseteq \{0\}$  but 3,  $7 \notin \{0\}$  or  $3^n$ ,  $7^n \notin \{0\}$ .

173 After discussing different types of primary ideals in a near-ring now we introduce v-174 primary near-rings (v = 0,1,2,3,c).

**Definition 2.19** A near-ring N is said to be a v-primary near-ring (v = 0, 1, 2, 3, c) if an 175 ideal  $\{0\}$  is *v*-primary ideal of *N*. 176

177 We can state that N is a 0-primary near-ring, if for all ideals A and B of N, such that  $AB \subseteq \{0\}$  implies  $A \subseteq \{0\}$  or  $B^n \subseteq \{0\}$ . In a similar manner, one can define remaining v-178 primary near-rings (v = 1, 2, 3, c). 179

**Example 2.20** In Example 2.2, {0} is a 0-primary ideal of near-ring N. Consequently, N 180 is a **0**-primary near-ring. 181

Proposition 2.21 Each 0-prime near-ring is a 0-primary near-ring. 182

**Example 2.22** Every integral near-rings are prime near-rings and hence primary (0-183 184 primary) near-rings.

Following (Pilz, 2011), if I is an ideal of N, then prime radical of N is the intersection 185 of all prime ideals containing I and is denoted by  $\mathscr{D}(I)$  i.e.,  $\mathscr{D}(I) = \bigcap_{P \supseteq I} P$ , where P is prime. 186 Hence, if  $n \in \wp(I) \Rightarrow \exists k \in \mathbb{N}: n^k \in I$ . In other words, I is a semiprime ideal of N iff  $\wp(I) = I$ . 187 Likewise rings, we will see that if I is the v-primary ideal (v = 0, 1, 2, 3, c) of a near-ring, then 188 its prime radical is the corresponding *v*-prime ideal. 189

**Example 2.23** Refer to Example 2.2,  $P = \{0, 2\}$  is **0**-primary ideal and  $\sqrt{\{0,2\}} = \{0, 1, 1\}$ 190 2, 3} is a **0**-prime ideal of N. 191

192 It is easy to verify that if an ideal I is a v-primary, then its prime radical is a v-prime 193 which we have already seen in Example 2.23. But the converse doesn't hold true i.e., if the prime radical of an ideal *I* is *v*-prime then it is not necessary that *I* is a *v*-primary ideal. 194

197 It is well known that an ideal *I* is a *c*-prime ideal (or completely prime), if  $a, b \in N$ , 198  $ab \in I$  implies  $a \in I$  or  $b \in I$ .

199 **Definition 2.25** Let *Q* be a *c*-primary (completely primary) ideal of *N* such that  $\sqrt{Q} =$ 200 *P*, where *P* is a *c*-prime ideal of *N*. Then we call *Q* a *cP*-primary ideal.

201 **Definition 2.26** Let Q be a cP-primary ideal of N. Then, for  $n \in N - Q$ , so that 202  $(Q:n) = \{a \in N: an \in Q\}.$ 

203 **Proposition 2.27** Let *Q* be a *cP*-primary ideal of *N* and let  $n \in N$ . Then below relations 204 hold:

205 (i) If  $n \in Q$ , then (Q:n) = N;

206 (ii) If  $n \notin Q$ , then (Q:n) is *cP*-primary ideal and  $\sqrt{(Q:n)} = P$ ;

207 (iii) If  $n \notin P$ , then (Q:n) = Q.

208 **Remark 2.28** Let *Q* be a *cP*-primary ideal of *N* such that  $\sqrt{(Q:n)}$  is *c*-prime and 209  $\sqrt{Q_i} = P_i$ , then it must be contained in the set  $\sqrt{(Q:n)}$  where  $n \in N$ .

210 We illustrate Proposition 2.27 and Remark 2.28 in the below example.

**Example 2.29** Refer to Example 2.2, we have  $Q = \{0, 2\}$  is *c*-primary ideal. Then, only the possible *c*-prime ideal of *N* containing *Q* is the ideal  $P = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$  and hence, it is a prime radical of *Q*. Which implies *Q* is a *cP*-primary. On the other hand, let  $3 \in N$  and consider  $(Q:3) = \{n \in N: 3n \in Q\} = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ , which is clearly a *c*-prime ideal. Hence (Q:3) is an associated *c*-prime ideal of a *c*-primary ideal *Q*. Thus, every associated *c*-prime ideal must be contained in  $\sqrt{(Q:x)}$ .

#### 217 3. Applications of *v*-primary ideals (v = 0, 1, 2, 3, c) towards graph theory

218 In this section, we provide applications of *v*-primary ideals (v = 0, 1, 2, 3, c) towards graph 219 theory. In this regard, we provide characterizations of different graphs through *v*-primary ideals 220 (v = 0, 1, 2, 3, c) of near-rings.

**Definition 3.1** Let *P* be the *v*-primary ideals (v = 0,1,2,3,c) of near-ring *N*. Then, the graph of *v*-primary ideal is denoted by  $G_{v-P}(N)$  consists of all the members of *N* as vertices and  $x, y \in N$  are connected by directional edge from *x* to *y* (resp., *y* to *x*), if  $xy \in P$  (resp.,  $yx \in P$ ). Similarly, if for any  $x, y \in N$  such that  $xy = yx \in P$ , then there exists an undirected edge between *x* and *y*.

Example 3.2 Refer to Example 2.2, in which  $P = \{0,2\}$  is 0-primary ideal of a nearring *N*. Then graph associated with 0-primary ideal is denoted by  $G_{0-P}(N)$  and is shown in Fig. 1.

According to the definition of 0-primary ideal  $G_{I_1I_2}(N) \equiv G_{0-P}(N)$  implies  $G_{I_2^2}(N) \equiv$   $G_{0-P}(N)$  but  $G_{I_1}(N)$  is not a subgraph of  $G_{0-P}(N)$ . This shows the definition of 0-primary ideal by their graphs which can be check easily.

Example 3.3 In Example 2.11,  $P = \{0, 4\}$  is the 1-primary ideal of *N*. The graph of 1primary ideal is denoted by  $G_{1-P}(N)$  and is shown in Fig. 2.

In Example 2.11, the graph  $G_{I_1I_2}(N) \equiv G_{1-P}(N)$  implies that  $G_{I_2}(N) \equiv G_{1-P}(N)$  and hence satisfies the definition of 1-primary ideal. Example 3.4 In Example 2.16,  $P = \{0, 6\}$  is a 3-primary ideal. Then the graph  $G_{3-P}(N)$  is presented in Fig. 3.

The graph of  $G_{3-P}(N)$  is different from the graph of 3-prime ideal because every prime ideal is primary but converse does not hold in general.

240 **Example 3.5** In Example 2.18,  $P = \{0, 2, 4\}$  is *c*-primary ideal. The graph of  $G_{c-P}(N)$ 241 is shown in figure 4.

Theorem 3.6 Let *P* be an ideal of near-ring. If *P* be 3-primary ideal, then *P* is a strong vertex cut of  $G_{3-P}(N)$ . If P be 3-semiprimary ideal and *P* is strong vertex cut of  $G_{3-P}(N)$ , then *P* is 3-primary.

*Proof.* Let P be a 3-primary ideal of N. If P = N, then the prove is straightforward. Let 245 us suppose that P is not equal to N and  $a, b \in N - P$  i.e.,  $a \neq b$ . Let us suppose that there exists 246 247 an edge between a and b of  $G_{3-P}(N) \Rightarrow aNb \subseteq P$  or  $bNa \subseteq P$ . Since P is 3-primary ideal of N implies  $a \in P$  or  $b^n \in P$  which contradicts to our assumption that  $a, b \in N - P$ . So, as a result 248 249  $G_{3-P}(N)$  has a strong vertex cut P. On the contrary, assume that P is 3-semiprimary ideal and a 250 strong vertex cut of  $G_{3-P}(N)$ . Then, we have to prove that P is 3-primary ideal of N. For this, let  $a, b \in N$  such that  $aNb \subseteq P$ . As we know that P is 3-semiprimary ideal so  $a = b \Rightarrow a \in P$ . 251 Let  $a \neq b$  choose  $a, b \in N - P$  for possible condition. As we know that P is strong vertex cut 252 253 of  $G_{3-P}(N)$ , so edge does not exist between a and b of  $G_{3-P}(N) \Rightarrow aNb \not\subseteq P$  and  $bNa \not\subseteq P$ . A contradiction arises, so  $aNb \subseteq P$  implies  $a \in P$  or  $b^n \in P$ . This prove that P is 3-primary ideal. 254

255 *Lemma 3.7* (i) Let *P* be a 3-primary ideal of *N* and a be the vertex of  $G_{3-P}(N)$ . If 256 deg(a) = deg(0), then  $a \in P$ .

(ii) Suppose N is a zero-symmetric near-ring and vertex of  $G_{3-P}(N)$  is a. If  $a \in P$ , 257 258 then  $\deg(a) = \deg(0)$ .

259 (*iii*) Suppose N is a zero-symmetric near-ring and P be its 3-primary ideal. Then  $a \in P$ if and only if deg(a) = deg(0) in  $G_{3-P}(N)$ . 260

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  Suppose deg(a) = deg(0), then  $aNb \subseteq P$  or  $bNa \subseteq P$ , for all  $b \in N$  i.e., 261  $a \neq b$ . Assume that  $aNb \subseteq P$ , for all  $b \in N$ . If P = N, then  $x \in P$ . Let  $P \neq N$  and choose  $b \in P$ . 262 N - P. It is clear that P is 3-primary ideal of N and  $aNb \subseteq P$  implies  $a \in P$  or  $b^n \in P$  for  $n \in P$ 263 264  $Z^+$ , which proves condition (i). Now to prove (ii), let  $a \in P$  and if a = 0, then the proof is 265 straightforward. On the other hand, let  $a \neq 0$  and deg $(a) \leq deg(0)$ , then there is vertex b such 266 that b is not adjacent to a in  $G_{3-P}(N)$ . Hence we can conclude that  $aNb \not\subseteq P$  and  $bNa \not\subseteq P$ . Now according to our supposition  $a \in P$  and P is an ideal of N implies  $aN \subseteq P$ . Thus  $aNb \subseteq P$ . 267 P. As we know that N is zero-symmetric, then  $Pb \subseteq P \Rightarrow aNb \subseteq P$  which contradicts our 268 269 supposition. Hence deg(a) = deg(0). Condition (*iii*) is follows form (*i*) and (*ii*).

#### **Theorem 3.8** Suppose *P* is an ideal of *N*; 270

If N is zero-symmetric and P is 3-primary ideal, then  $G_{3-P}(N)$  is ideal 271 (i)symmetric. 272 If  $G_{3-P}(N)$  is ideal symmetric with P is 3-semiprimary and for every  $a \in N$ , 273 (ii) deg(a) = deg(0) in  $G_{3-P}(N) \Rightarrow a \in P$ , then P is 3-primary and P is a strong 274 vertex cut of  $G_{3-P}(N)$ . 275

*Proof.* To show condition (i), suppose a, b are any two vertices of  $G_{3-p}(n)$  having an 276 277 edge between a and b. Then,  $aNb \subseteq P$  or  $bNa \subseteq P$ . Let  $aNb \subseteq P$  and P is 3-primary ideal  $\Rightarrow$  $x \in P$  or  $b^n \in P$ , where n is any positive integer. Since N is a zero-symmetric, by using Lemma 278 3.7 (ii),  $\deg(a) = \deg(0)$  or  $\deg(b) = \deg(0)$ . It implies  $G_{3-P}(N)$  is an ideal symmetric. To 279

verify (ii), suppose a, b ∈ N, aNb ⊆ P. According to given condition P is 3-semiprimary
implies a = b ⇒ a ∈ P. Suppose a ≠ b then there exists an edge between a and b in G<sub>3-P</sub>(N).
Since G<sub>3-P</sub>(N) is ideal symmetric, deg(a) = deg(0) or deg(y) = deg(0) implies a ∈ P or b<sup>n</sup> ∈
P. As P is 3-primary ideal of N. So by Theorem 3.6, P is a strong vertex cut of G<sub>3-P</sub>(N).

#### 284 **Conclusion**

285 In this paper, we have introduced the notions of v-primary ideals (v = 0, 1, 2, 3, c) in near-ring, which are the generalization of v-prime ideals (v = 0, 1, 2, 3, c). We verify these 286 287 defined ideals by examples and counter examples. We have investigated the relations of these ideals among each other as well. During this we have established that  $c - primary \Rightarrow 3 - c$ 288 289 primary  $\Rightarrow 2 - \text{primary} \Rightarrow 1 - \text{primary} \Rightarrow 0 - \text{primary}$ , but converse of this implication is not true. Furthermore, we have proved some logical results and verified them through 290 291 examples. Finally, we have studied different types of graphs associated with v-primary ideals 292 (v = 0, 1, 2, 3, c) and also proved some algebraic results by using the concepts of graph theory. 293 In future, v-primary ideals (v = 0, 1, 2, 3, c) and their defined relations with other ideals in nearring will help to differentiate and introduce more algebraic structure, which are not yet initiated 294 295 in near-rings. One can also study the sequential machine via using these newly established 296 ideals and the graphs associated with them.

#### 297 **References**

- Ali, A. (2020). Commutativity of a 3-prime near ring satisfying certain differential
  identities on jordan ideals. *Mathematics*, 8(1), 89.
- Anderson, D. F. & Livingston, P. S. (1999). The zero-divisor graph of a commutative
  ring. *Journal of algebra*, 217(2), 434-447.

302	Atagun, A., Kamacı, H., Tastekin, I. & Sezgin. (2019). A. P-properties in Near-rings.
303	Journal of Mathematical and Fundamental Sciences, 51, 152-167.

- Beck, I. (1988). Coloring of commutative rings. *Journal of algebra*, 116(1), 208-226.
- Bhavanari, S., Kunham, S. P. & Kedukodi, B. S. (2010). Graph of a nearring with
  respect to an ideal. *Communications in Algebra*®, 38(5), 1957-1967.
- Birkenmeier, G., Heatherly, H. & Lee. E. (1993) Prime ideals in near-rings. *Results in Mathematics*, 24(1), 27-48.
- Bondy, J. A. & Murty, U. S. R. (1976). *Graph theory with applications*. London:
  Macmillan, (Vol. 290).
- 311 Das, P. (2016). On the diameter, girth and coloring of the strong zero-divisor graph of
  312 near-rings. *Kyungpook Mathematical Journal*, 56(4), 1103-1113.
- Elavarasan, B. (2011). Generalizations of prime ideals in near-rings. *International Journal of Open Problems computer Science and Mathematics*, 4(4), 47-53.
- Fröhlich, A. (1958). Distributively Generated Near-Rings:(I. Ideal Theory). *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, 3(1), 76-94.
- Gaketem, T. (2022). Some application of ideals of nLA-rings. *Journal of Mathematics and Computer Science*, *12*, Article-ID.
- Godsil, C. & Royle. G. F. (2001). Algebraic graph theory. Springer Science &
  Business Media, (Vol. 207).
- 321 Groenewald, N. J. (1991). Different prime ideals in near-rings. *Communications in*322 Algebra, 19(10), 2667-2675.

- Hausken, S. & Skinner. J. (2013). Directed graphs of commutative rings. *Rose-Hulman Undergraduate Mathematics Journal*, 14(2), 11.
- Holcombe, W. M. L. (1970). *Primitive near-rings* (Doctoral dissertation, University of
  Leeds).
- 327 Khan, W. A., Muhammad, A., Taouti, A. & Maki, J. (2018). Almost prime ideal in
  328 gamma near ring. *European Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 11(2), 449-456.
- Koppula, K., Kedukodi, B. S. & Kuncham, S. P. (2020). On perfect ideals of
  seminearrings. *Beiträge zur Algebra und Geometrie/Contributions to Algebra and Geometry*, 120.
- Lipkovski, A. T. (2012). Digraphs associated with finite rings. *Publications de l'Institut Mathematique*, 92(106), 35-41.

Pilz, G. (2011). *Near-rings: the theory and its applications*. Elsevier, 2011.

- Rajkhowa, K. K. & Saikia, H. K. (2020). Prime intersection graph of ideals of a ring. *Proceedings-Mathematical Sciences*, 130(1), 1-12.
- Ramakotaiah, D. & Rao, G. K. (1979). IFP near-rings. *Journal of the Australian Mathematical Society*, 27(3), 365-370.
- Sahoo, T., Shetty, M. D, Groenewald, N. J., Harikrishnan, P. K., & Kuncham, S. P.
  (2021). On completely 2-absorbing ideals of N-groups. *Journal of Discrete Mathematical Sciences and Cryptography*, 24(2), 541-556.
- Wendt, G. (2021). Primeness and primitivity in near-rings. *Journal of the Korean Mathematical Society*, 58(2), 309-326.

+	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
0	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	1	0	3	2	5	4	7	6
2	2	3	0	1	6	7	4	5
3	3	2	1	0	7	6	5	4
4	4	5	6	7	0	1	2	3
5	5	4	7	6	1	0	3	2
6	6	7	4	5	2	3	0	1
7	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
2	0	0	2	2	0	0	2	2
3	0	0	2	2	0	1	2	3
4	0	1	0	1	4	4	4	4
5	0	1	0	1	4	5	4	5
6	0	1	2	3	4	4	6	6
7	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7

+	0	1	2	3
0	0	1	2	3
1	1	0	3	2
2	2	3	0	1
3	3	2	1	0

	0	1	2	3
0	0	0	0	0
1	0	1	0	1
2	0	0	0	0
3	0	1	0	1

+	0+P	2 + <i>P</i>
0+P	0+P	2 + <i>P</i>
2 + <i>P</i>	2 + <i>P</i>	0+P

	0+P	2 + <i>P</i>
0+P	0+P	0 + P
2 + P	0+P	0+P

+	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
0	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	0
2	2	3	4	5	6	7	0	1
3	3	4	5	6	7	0	1	2
4	4	5	6	7	0	1	2	3
5	5	6	7	0	1	2	3	4
6	6	7	0	1	2	3	4	5
7	7	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	0
2	0	2	0	2	0	2	2	0
3	0	3	0	3	0	3	3	0
4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
5	4	5	4	5	4	5	5	4
6	4	6	4	6	4	6	6	4
7	4	7	4	7	4	7	7	4

+	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
0	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	2	3	0	1	7	6	4	5
2	2	3	0	1	5	4	7	6
3	3	0	1	2	6	7	5	4
4	4	7	5	6	2	0	1	3
5	5	6	4	7	0	2	3	1
6	6	4	7	5	1	3	0	2
7	7	5	6	4	3	1	2	0
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
2	0	2	0	2	2	2	0	0
3	0	3	2	1	5	4	6	7
4	0	4	2	5	4	5	6	7
5	0	6	2	4	5	4	6	7
6	0	6	0	6	0	0	0	0
7	0	7	0	7	2	2	0	0

+	0	1	2	3	4	5
0	0	1	2	3	4	5
1	1	2	3	4	5	0
2	2	3	4	5	0	1
3	3	4	5	0	1	2
4	4	5	0	1	2	3
5	5	0	1	2	3	4

	0	1	2	3	4	5
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	5	1	0	5	1
2	0	4	2	0	4	2
3	0	3	3	0	3	3
4	0	2	4	0	2	4
5	0	1	5	0	1	5



Figure 1. G<sub>0-P</sub>(N)



Figure 2. G<sub>1-P</sub>(N)



Figure 3. G<sub>3-P</sub>(N)



Figure 4. G<sub>c-P</sub>(N)