Original Article

Analysis of the Ebola fractional-order model with Caputo-Fabrizio derivative

Nita H Shah[*], Kapil Chaudhary

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Gujarat University,

Ahmedabad, 380009, India

***Corresponding Author,**

Email address: nitahshah@gmail.com, kapil.math.hons@gmail.com

Abstract

This paper uses a fractional-order epidemic model to describe the transmission dynamics of the Ebola virus. The proposed model uses the fractional-order derivative in Caputo-Fabrizio's sense. It calculates the time-independent solutions of the proposed model, and the next-generation matrix method is used to calculate the basic reproduction number. It obtains the condition for the existence and uniqueness of the solutions of the model. Further, the stability condition for generalized Ulam-Hyers-Rassias stability of the proposed model is obtained. In numerical simulations, it shows how the proposed model's approximate solution varies for integer and fractional orders. It also shows the behavior of the Ebola infections, deceased and susceptible for various values of the contact rate. To demonstrate efficiency while using less time, CPU time is given in the tabular form.

Keywords: Ebola, Caputo-Fabrizio derivative, Stability, Basic reproduction number, Simulations

2010 MSC: 37Nxx, 37M05, 26A33

1. Introduction

The Filoviridae family member Ebola virus (EBOV) causes the inflammatory, severe, potentially fatal disease known as (EVD) Ebola viral disease (used to be referred to as Ebola hemorrhagic fever), which affects both humans and great apes. The first species of EBOV was discovered near the Ebola River in the Democratic Republic of Congo in the Central African continent in 1976 (Bisimwa, Biamba, Aborode, Cakwira, & Akilimali, 2022; Feldmann, Sprecher, & Geisbert, 2020). With mortality rates reaching from 50% to 90% in some instances, Death due to Ebola hemorrhagic fever can take place in as little as a few days (Hammouch, Rasul, Ouakka, & Elazzouzi, 2022). The illness requires between two and twenty-one days (typically, six to ten days) to incubate and eight hours to replicate (Feldmann *et al.*, 2020). Humans can contract EBOV through close physical contact with infected bodily fluids; blood, faeces, and vomit (World Health Organization [WHO], 2014). Over the past three decades, EBOV has been responsible for a series of epidemics (Zhang & Jain, 2020). The outbreak of 2013–16 was categorized by WHO as a Public Health Emergency of International Concern, which highlighted the difficulties associated with treating Ebola virus infections and raised concerns about society's readiness to manage future epidemics on a scientific, clinical, and sociological level.

1.1.Literature Survey

Many researchers have created epidemic models to better understand the EBOV virus disease's mechanics. Some are integer order, and the rest are fractional-order models. The fractional-order model, in contrast to integer-order models, provides more freedom to fit the real data, which enhances the model's coherence with actual data and observations (Khajehsaeid, 2018). In (Singh, 2020), the author used fractional-order GL-derivative to form an iterative numerical scheme to find the numerical solutions of the epidemic model based on EBOV and computed the CPU time usage. In (Srivastava, & Saad, 2020), the authors looked at three potential kernel-based numerical solutions for the fractal-fractional Ebola virus. In (Gao, Li, Li, & Zhou, 2021; Shaikh & Nisar, 2019), the authors used the fractional-order CF-derivatives and fixed-point theorem in the proposed epidemic model to show the existence and uniqueness of the governing system's solution. In (Shah, Patel, & Yeolekar, 2019), the authors proposed an integer-order model that discussed the vertical dynamics of Ebola with media impacts. In (Liu, Fečkan, O'Regan, & Wang, 2019; Nwajeri, Omame, & Onyenegecha, 2021), the authors derived the generalized UHR-stability results using fractional-order CF- derivatives within their proposed models. Fractional calculus is currently the focus of numerous studies of epidemic models. Multiple numerical and analytical methods have been developed to solve fractional calculus problems. Some other related models are discussed in (Hussain, Baleanu, & Adeel, 2020; Liu, Fečkan, & Wang, 2020; Solís-Pérez, Gómez-Aguilar, & Atangana, 2018). In (Singh, Srivastava, Hammouch, & Nisar, 2021), the authors analyzed the stability conditions and the numerical results of the proposed fractional-order model on COVID-19. In (Singh, Baleanu, Singh, & Dutta, 2021), the authors looked at a non-integer order smoking model, utilized an iterative technique to get numerical findings, and listed CPU time to illustrate the efficiency of solutions.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 1. *The fractional-order* ϕ*-derivative with* $\phi \in (0,1]$ *of function* $f \in$

$H^1[a,b]$ in Caputo's sense is defined as,

$$ {}^{C}D_t^{\phi} f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\phi)} \int_a^t f'(s)(t-s)^{-\phi} ds \quad , \quad t > a \qquad (1) $$

A new derivative is introduced using an exponential kernel to avoid the singularity at $t = s$ in the above expression (1).

Definition 2. (Losada & Nieto, 2015) *The new fractional-order ϕ-derivative of a function f in Caputo-Fabrizio's sense can be written as,*

$$ {}^{CF}D_t^{\phi} f(t) = \frac{(2-\phi)M(\phi)}{2(1-\phi)} \int_a^t f'(s) \exp\left[-\frac{\phi(t-s)}{(1-\phi)}\right] ds, \qquad t > a $$

where $M(\phi)$ is the normalizing constant function depending upon ϕ.

Definition 3. *The Laplace transform of the fractional-order $\boldsymbol{\phi}$-derivative of a function $\boldsymbol{f}$ in Caputo-Fabrizio's sense is defined as,*

$$ \mathcal{L}\{ {}^{CF}D_t^{\phi} f(t), s\} = \frac{s\mathcal{L}\{f(t), s\} - f(0)}{\left(s + \phi(1-s)\right)}, \qquad s \geq 0. $$

Definition 4. *The fractional integral of order ϕ of a function f in Caputo-Fabrizio's sense is defined as,*

$$ {}^{CF}\mathcal{J}_t^{\phi}\left(\mathrm{f(t)}\right) = \frac{2(1-\phi)}{(2-\phi)M(\phi)} \mathrm{f(t)} + \frac{2\phi}{(2-\phi)M(\phi)} \int_a^t \mathrm{f}(\tau) d\tau, \qquad t > a. $$

3. Model formulation

The compartments of the model are defined as follows: Susceptible that are uninfected (S), exposed to Ebola infection (E), infectious from the infection (I), Hospitalized (H), Deceased or critically sick (D), and Recovered from infection (R). The total population (N) is the sum of all the compartments. At any instance of time t,

$$N(t) = S(t) + E(t) + I(t) + H(t) + D(t) + R(t)$$

The model has the following assumptions:

- Exposed individuals $E(t)$, infectious individuals ($I(t)$), Hospitalized individuals ($H(t)$), and Deceased or critically sick individuals ($D(t)$) are carriers of the EBOV at any instance of time t.
- Whenever any susceptible person (*S*) comes into touch with any deceased (*D*), hospitalized (*H*), exposed (*E*), or infectious (*I*) person, it acquires EBOV at the rate $\alpha_1(E + I + H + D)S/N$.

The meaning of the parameters used in the model is given in Table 1. The governing system of the fractional-order non-linear differential equations which describe the proposed epidemic model is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
{}^{CF}D_t^{\phi}E(t) &= \frac{\alpha_1\big(E(t)+I(t)+D(t)+H(t)\big)S(t)}{N(t)} - (\mu + \alpha_2)E(t) \\
{}^{CF}D_t^{\phi}I(t) &= \alpha_2 E(t) - (\alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \mu)I(t) \\
{}^{CF}D_t^{\phi}H(t) &= \alpha_3 I(t) - (\alpha_5 + \alpha_6 + \mu)H(t) \\
{}^{CF}D_t^{\phi}D(t) &= \alpha_4 I(t) + \alpha_5 H(t) - \mu D(t) \\
{}^{CF}D_t^{\phi}R(t) &= \alpha_6 H(t) - \mu R(t) \\
{}^{CF}D_t^{\phi}S(t) &= B - \mu S(t) - \frac{\alpha_1\big(E(t)+I(t)+D(t)+H(t)\big)S(t)}{N(t)}
\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

with non-negative initial condition, $(S(0), E(0), I(0), H(0), D(0), R(0)) \in \mathbb{R}_+^6$.

It can be rewritten in vector form as follows:

$${}^{CF}D_t^{\phi}\vec{\psi}(t) = \vec{\mathcal{K}}(t, E(t), I(t), H(t), D(t), R(t), S(t)) \tag{3}$$

for $\phi \in (0,1]$, $\quad t \in J = [0, b]$ with following initial condition, (4)

$$\vec{\psi}(0) = \vec{\psi}_0 = (E(0), I(0), H(0), D(0), R(0), S(0))^T \tag{5}$$

where $\vec{\psi}(t) = \big(E(t), I(t), H(t), D(t), R(t), S(t)\big)^T$

and $\vec{\mathcal{K}}(t) = (\mathcal{K}_1(t), \mathcal{K}_2(t), \mathcal{K}_3(t), \mathcal{K}_4(t), \mathcal{K}_5(t), \mathcal{K}_6(t))^T$.

4. Analysis of model

4.1. Equilibrium Points

In this section, the equilibrium points of system (2) are evaluated. The points are the steady-state solution of the system (2). There are two equilibrium points of the proposed system to be analyzed. The Ebola-free equilibrium point, E^0 is given by:

$$E^0 = \left\{S = \frac{B}{\mu}, E = I = H = D = R = 0\right\}$$

The endemic equilibrium point E^1 is given by:

$$E^1 = \left\{S^* = \frac{A_1}{A_2}, E^* = \frac{A_3}{A_4}, I^* = \frac{A_5}{A_6}, H^* = \frac{A_7}{A_8}, D^* = \frac{A_9}{A_{10}}, R^* = \frac{A_{11}}{A_{12}}\right\}$$

where,

$$A_1 = N\mu(\mu + \alpha_5 + \alpha_6)(\mu + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4)(\mu + \alpha_2)$$

$$A_2 = \alpha_1(\mu^3 + (\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_6)\mu^2 + ((\alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_6)\alpha_2 + (\alpha_5 + \alpha_6)(\alpha_3 + \alpha_4))\mu + ((\alpha_5 + \alpha_6)\alpha_4 + \alpha_3\alpha_5)\alpha_2)$$

$$A_3 = -N\mu^5 - N(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_6)\mu^4 + (-N(\alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_6)\alpha_2 + B\alpha_1 - N(\alpha_5 + \alpha_6)(\alpha_3 + \alpha_4))\mu^3 + (\big(B\alpha_1 - N(\alpha_5 + \alpha_6)(\alpha_3 + \alpha_4)\big)\alpha_2 + B\alpha_1(\alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_6))\mu^2 + B((\alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_6)\alpha_2 + (\alpha_5 + \alpha_6)(\alpha_3 + \alpha_4))\alpha_1\mu + \big((\alpha_5 + \alpha_6)\alpha_4 + \alpha_3\alpha_5\big)B\alpha_2\alpha_1$$

$$A_4 = (\mu + \alpha_2)(\mu^3 + (\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_6)\mu^2 + ((\alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_6) + (\alpha_5 + \alpha_6)(\alpha_3 + \alpha_4))\mu + ((\alpha_5 + \alpha_6)\alpha_4 + \alpha_3\alpha_5)\alpha_2)\alpha_1$$

$$A_5 = (-N\mu^5 - N(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_6)\mu^4 + (-N(\alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_6)\alpha_2 - N(\alpha_5$$
$$+ \alpha_6)\alpha_4 - N(\alpha_5 + \alpha_6)\alpha_3 + B\alpha_1)\mu^3 + ((-N(\alpha_5 + \alpha_6)\alpha_4 - N(\alpha_5 + \alpha_6)\alpha_3$$
$$+ B\alpha_1)\alpha_2 + B\alpha_1(\alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_6))\mu^2 + B((\alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_6)\alpha_2$$
$$+ (\alpha_5 + \alpha_6)(\alpha_3 + \alpha_4))\alpha_1\mu + ((\alpha_5 + \alpha_6)\alpha_4 + \alpha_3\alpha_5)B\alpha_2\alpha_1)\alpha_2$$

$$A_6 = (\mu + \alpha_2)(\mu + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4)(\mu^3 + (\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_6)\mu^2 + ((\alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5$$
$$+ \alpha_6)\alpha_2 + (\alpha_5 + \alpha_6)(\alpha_3 + \alpha_4))\mu + ((\alpha_5 + \alpha_6)\alpha_4 + \alpha_3\alpha_5)\alpha_2)\alpha_1$$

$$A_7 = (-N\mu^5 - N(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_6)\mu^4 + (-N(\alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_6)\alpha_2 - N(\alpha_5$$
$$+ \alpha_6)\alpha_4 - N\alpha_3\alpha_5 - N\alpha_3\alpha_6 + B\alpha_1)\mu^3 + ((-N((\alpha_5 + \alpha_6)\alpha_4 + \alpha_3\alpha_5$$
$$+ \alpha_3\alpha_6) + B\alpha_1)\alpha_2 + B\alpha_1(\alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_6))\mu^2 + B((\alpha_3 + \alpha_4\ \alpha_5$$
$$+ \alpha_6)\alpha_2 + (\alpha_5 + \alpha_6)(\alpha_3 + \alpha_4))\alpha_1\mu + ((\alpha_5 + \alpha_6)\alpha_4 + \alpha_5)B\alpha_2\alpha_1)\alpha_2\alpha_3$$

$$A_8 = (\mu + \alpha_2)(\mu + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4)(\mu + \alpha_5 + \alpha_6)(\mu^3 + (\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_6)\mu^2 + ((\alpha_3$$
$$+ \alpha_4 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_6)\alpha_2 + (\alpha_5 + \alpha_6)(\alpha_3 + \alpha_4))\mu + ((\alpha_5 + \alpha_6)\alpha_4$$
$$+ \alpha_3\alpha_5)\alpha_2)\alpha_1$$

$$A_9 = (-N\mu^5 - N(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_6)\mu^4 + (-N(\alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_6)\alpha_2 - N(\alpha_5$$
$$+ \alpha_6)\alpha_4 - N(\alpha_5 + \alpha_6)\alpha_3 + B\alpha_1)\mu^3 + ((-N(\alpha_5 + \alpha_6)\alpha_4 - N(\alpha_5$$
$$+ \alpha_6)\alpha_3 + B\alpha_1)\alpha_2 + B\alpha_1(\alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_6))\mu^2 + B((\alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5$$
$$+ \alpha_6)\alpha_2 + (\alpha_5 + \alpha_6)(\alpha_3 + \alpha_4))\alpha_1\mu + ((\alpha_5 + \alpha_6)\alpha_4 + \alpha_5)B\alpha_2\alpha_1)\alpha_2(\alpha_4\mu$$
$$+ (\alpha_5 + \alpha_6)\alpha_4 + \alpha_3\alpha_5$$

$$A_{10} = \mu A_8$$

$$A_{11} = (-N\mu^5 - N(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_6)\mu^4 + (-N(\alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_6)\alpha_2 - N(\alpha_5 + \alpha_6)\alpha_4 - N\alpha_3\alpha_5 - N\alpha_3\alpha_6 + B\alpha_1)\mu^3 + ((-N(\alpha_5 + \alpha_6)\alpha_4 - N\alpha_3\alpha_5 - N\alpha_3\alpha_6 + B\alpha_1)\alpha_2 + B\alpha_1(\alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_6))\mu^2 + B((\alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_6)\alpha_2 + (\alpha_5 + \alpha_6)(\alpha_3 + \alpha_4))\alpha_1\mu + ((\alpha_5 + \alpha_6)\alpha_4 + \alpha_3\alpha_5)\ \alpha_2\alpha_1 B)\alpha_2\alpha_6\alpha_3$$

$$A_{12} = \mu(\mu + \alpha_2)(\mu + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4)(\mu + \alpha_5 + \alpha_6)(\mu^3 + (\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_6)\mu^2 + ((\alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_6)\alpha_2 + (\alpha_5 + \alpha_6)(\alpha_3 + \alpha_4))\mu + ((\alpha_5 + \alpha_6)\alpha_4 + \alpha_3\alpha_5)\alpha_2)\alpha_1$$

4.2 Basic Reproduction Number

The next-generation matrix method (Diekmann, Heesterbeek, & Metz, 1990; Otunuga, 2021) is used to calculate the basic reproduction number of the proposed model. The fractional-order system (2) can be rewritten as:

$$^{CF}D_t^{\phi}\vec{\psi}(t) = \vec{f}(t) - \vec{v}(t)$$

where,

$$\vec{\psi}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} E(t) \\ I(t) \\ H(t) \\ D(t) \\ R(t) \\ S(t) \end{bmatrix}, \vec{f}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} \dfrac{\alpha_1(E + I + D + H)S}{N} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ -\dfrac{\alpha_1(E + I + D + H)S}{N} \end{bmatrix} \text{and } \vec{v}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} (\mu + \alpha_2)E \\ (\mu + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4)I - \alpha_2 E \\ (\mu + \alpha_5 + \alpha_6)H - \alpha_3 I \\ \mu D - \alpha_4 I - \alpha_5 H \\ \mu R - \alpha_6 H \\ \mu S - B \end{bmatrix}$$

are the column vectors with the initial condition, $\vec{\psi}(0) = \vec{\psi}_0$. Assume that F and V be the Jacobian matrices of the column vectors $\vec{f}$ and $\vec{v}$, respectively.

At the Ebola-free equilibrium point E^0,

$$F_{E^0} = F(E^0) = \begin{bmatrix} \vee & \vee & \vee & \vee & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\vee & -\vee & -\vee & -\vee & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \qquad (\text{where } \vee = B\alpha_1 N\mu)$$

$$V_{E^0} = V(E^0) = \begin{bmatrix} \mu+\alpha_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\alpha_2 & \mu+\alpha_3+\alpha_4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\alpha_3 & \mu+\alpha_5+\alpha_6 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\alpha_4 & -\alpha_5 & \mu & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\alpha_6 & 0 & \mu & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \mu \end{bmatrix}$$

are the singular and non-singular 6×6 matrices. The basic reproduction number is the spectral radius of the matrix FV^{-1} at Ebola-free point E^0.

$$\mathcal{R}_0 = \rho\left(F_{E^0} V_{E^0}^{-1}\right) = \left[\frac{B(\mu^3 + (\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5+\alpha_6)\mu^2 + ((\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5+\alpha_6)\alpha_2 + (\alpha_5+\alpha_6)(\alpha_3+\alpha_4))\mu + ((\alpha_5+\alpha_6)\alpha_4 + \alpha_3\alpha_5)\alpha_2)\alpha_1}{N(\mu+\alpha_5+\alpha_6)(\mu+\alpha_3+\alpha_4)(\mu+\alpha_2)\mu^2}\right]$$

4.3 Invariant positive region

Lemma 1. *The proposed fractional-order model for Ebola infection (2)'s the feasible domain of solution is*

$$\Omega = \left\{(S, E, I, H, D, R) \in \mathbb{R}_+^6 \,|\, 0 \le N \le \frac{B}{\mu}\right\}$$

positively invariant.

Proof. Adding all the equations in the fractional-order system (2),

$${}^{CF}D_t^{\phi} N(t) = B - \mu N(t)$$

Using the Laplace transform for both sides of the previous equation,

$$\mathcal{L}\left\{ {}^{CF}D_t^{\phi} N(t), s\right\} = \mathcal{L}\{B - \mu N(t), s\}$$

This gives,

$$\frac{s\mathcal{L}\{N(t),s\} - N(0)}{\big(s+\phi(1-s)\big)} = \frac{B}{s} - \mu\mathcal{L}\{N(t),s\}$$

provided $s > 0$.

Solving for $\mathcal{L}\{N(t),s\}$ and taking inverse Laplace transform,

$$N(t) = N(0)\mathcal{L}^{-1}\left\{\frac{1}{s+\mu s+\mu\phi(1-s)}\right\} + B\mathcal{L}^{-1}\left\{\frac{s+\phi(1-s)}{(1+\mu-\phi\mu)s^2+\phi\mu s}\right\}$$

$$= \frac{N(0)}{1+\mu-\mu\phi}\mathcal{L}^{-1}\left\{\frac{1}{s+\left(\frac{\mu\phi}{1+\mu(1-\phi)}\right)}\right\} + \frac{B}{1+\mu-\mu\phi}\begin{bmatrix}(1-\phi)\mathcal{L}^{-1}\left\{\frac{1}{s+\frac{\mu\phi}{1+\mu(1-\phi)}}\right\} \\ +\phi\mathcal{L}^{-1}\left\{\frac{1}{s\left(s+\frac{\phi\mu}{(1+\mu-\mu\phi)}\right)}\right\}\end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{N(0)}{1+\mu-\mu\phi}\left[\exp\left[-\left(\frac{\mu\phi t}{1+\mu(1-\phi)}\right)\right]\right]$$

$$+ \frac{B}{1+\mu-\mu\phi}\begin{bmatrix}(1-\phi)\exp\left[-\left(\frac{\mu\phi t}{1+\mu(1-\phi)}\right)\right] \\ +\frac{1+\mu-\mu\phi}{\mu}\left[1-\exp\left[-\left(\frac{\mu\phi t}{1+\mu(1-\phi)}\right)\right]\right]\end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{B}{\mu} + \left[\frac{N(0)+B(1-\phi)}{1+\mu-\mu\phi} - \frac{B}{\mu}\right]\exp\left[-\left(\frac{\mu\phi t}{1+\mu(1-\phi)}\right)\right]$$

and because of the asymptotic decay characteristic of the inverse exponential function as time grows, $\lim_{t\to\infty} N(t) \le B/\mu$. Therefore, the fractional-order system has a positively oriented bounded region.

5. Existence and Uniqueness of the solution

In this section, the existence and uniqueness of the solution of the proposed fractional-order model are shown. Applying the fractional-integral operator (${}^{CF}\mathcal{J}_t^{\phi}$) on both sides into the system of equations (2),

$$
\begin{aligned}
E(t)-E(0) &= {}^{CF}\mathcal{I}_t^{\phi}\left(\frac{\alpha_1(E(t)+I(t)+D(t)+H(t))S(t)}{N(t)}-(\mu+\alpha_2)E(t)\right)\\
I(t)-I(0) &= {}^{CF}\mathcal{I}_t^{\phi}(\alpha_2E(t)-(\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\mu)I(t))\\
H(t)-H(0) &= {}^{CF}\mathcal{I}_t^{\phi}(\alpha_3I(t)-(\alpha_5+\alpha_6+\mu)H(t))\\
D(t)-D(0) &= {}^{CF}\mathcal{I}_t^{\phi}(\alpha_4I(t)+\alpha_5H(t)-\mu D(t))\\
R(t)-R(0) &= {}^{CF}\mathcal{I}_t^{\phi}(\alpha_6H(t)-\mu R(t))\\
S(t)-S(0) &= {}^{CF}\mathcal{I}_t^{\phi}\left(B-\mu S(t)-\frac{\alpha_1(E(t)+I(t)+D(t)+H(t))S(t)}{N(t)}\right)
\end{aligned}
\tag{6}
$$

Solving the right-hand side of the system (6),

$$
\begin{aligned}
E(t)-E(0) &= \frac{2(1-\phi)}{(2-\phi)M(\phi)}\mathcal{K}_1(t,\psi(t))+\frac{2\phi}{(2-\phi)M(\phi)}\int_0^t \mathcal{K}_1(\tau,\psi(\tau))d\tau\\
I(t)-I(0) &= \frac{2(1-\phi)}{(2-\phi)M(\phi)}\mathcal{K}_2(t,\psi(t))+\frac{2\phi}{(2-\phi)M(\phi)}\int_0^t \mathcal{K}_2(\tau,\psi(\tau))d\tau\\
H(t)-H(0) &= \frac{2(1-\phi)}{(2-\phi)M(\phi)}\mathcal{K}_3(t,\psi(t))+\frac{2\phi}{(2-\phi)M(\phi)}\int_0^t \mathcal{K}_3(\tau,\psi(\tau))d\tau\\
D(t)-D(0) &= \frac{2(1-\phi)}{(2-\phi)M(\phi)}\mathcal{K}_4(t,\psi(t))+\frac{2\phi}{(2-\phi)M(\phi)}\int_0^t \mathcal{K}_4(\tau,\psi(\tau))d\tau\\
R(t)-R(0) &= \frac{2(1-\phi)}{(2-\phi)M(\phi)}\mathcal{K}_5(t,\psi(t))+\frac{2\phi}{(2-\phi)M(\phi)}\int_0^t \mathcal{K}_5(\tau,\psi(\tau))d\tau\\
S(t)-S(0) &= \frac{2(1-\phi)}{(2-\phi)M(\phi)}\mathcal{K}_6(t,\psi(t))+\frac{2\phi}{(2-\phi)M(\phi)}\int_0^t \mathcal{K}_6(\tau,\psi(\tau))d\tau
\end{aligned}
\tag{7}
$$

where kernels $\mathcal{K}_1,\mathcal{K}_2,\mathcal{K}_3,\mathcal{K}_4,\mathcal{K}_5$, and $\mathcal{K}_6$ are defined as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{K}_1(t,\psi(t)) &= \frac{\alpha_1\big(E(t)+I(t)+D(t)+H(t)\big)S(t)}{N(t)}-(\mu+\alpha_2)E(t)\\
\mathcal{K}_2(t,\psi(t)) &= \alpha_2E(t)-(\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\mu)I(t)\\
\mathcal{K}_3(t,\psi(t)) &= \alpha_3I(t)-(\alpha_5+\alpha_6+\mu)H(t)\\
\mathcal{K}_4(t,\psi(t)) &= \alpha_4I(t)+\alpha_5H(t)-\mu D(t)\\
\mathcal{K}_5(t,\psi(t)) &= \alpha_6H(t)-\mu R(t)\\
\mathcal{K}_6(t,\psi(t)) &= B-\mu S(t)-\frac{\alpha_1\big(E(t)+I(t)+D(t)+H(t)\big)S(t)}{N(t)}
\end{aligned}
\tag{8}
$$

Lemma 2. *The kernels $\mathcal{K}_1,\mathcal{K}_2,\mathcal{K}_3,\mathcal{K}_4,\mathcal{K}_5$, and $\mathcal{K}_6$ satisfy Lipschitz's condition if*

$$0\le L = sup\{L_1,L_2,L_3,L_4,L_5,L_6\}<1$$

where $L_1=|\alpha_1s_1-(\mu+\alpha_2)|, L_2=|\mu+\alpha_3+\alpha_4|, L_3=|\mu+\alpha_5+\alpha_6|, L_4=L_5=\mu, L_6=|\alpha_1(1-s_0-r_0)-\mu|$, $s_0=\inf_t S(t)/N(t)\le \sup_t S(t)/N(t)=s_1$ *and* $r_0=\inf_t R(t)/N(t)$.

Proof. Let $E_1,\ E_2$ be corresponding functions for the kernel $\mathcal{K}_1$. Let I_1, I_2 be corresponding functions for the kernel $\mathcal{K}_2$. Let H_1, H_2 be corresponding functions for the kernel $\mathcal{K}_3$. Let D_1, D_2 be corresponding functions for the kernel $\mathcal{K}_4$, R_1, R_2 be corresponding functions for the kernel $\mathcal{K}_5$ and S_1, S_2 be corresponding functions for the kernel $\mathcal{K}_6$. Then,

$$\| \mathcal{K}_1(t, E_1(t)) - \mathcal{K}_1(t, E_2(t)) \| = \left\| \left(\frac{\alpha_1 S(t)}{N(t)} - (\mu + \alpha_2) \right) (E_1(t) - E_2(t)) \right\| \tag{9}$$

$$\leq \underbrace{\sup_t \left| \frac{\alpha_1 S(t)}{N(t)} - (\mu + \alpha_2) \right|}_{L_1} \|E_1(t) - E_2(t)\| \tag{10}$$

Similarly,

$$\| \mathcal{K}_2(t, I_1(t)) - \mathcal{K}_2(t, I_2(t)) \| = \|(-(\mu + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4))(I_1(t) - I_2(t))\| \tag{11}$$

$$\leq \underbrace{|\mu + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4|}_{L_2} \|I_1(t) - I_2(t)\| \tag{12}$$

$$\| \mathcal{K}_3(t, H_1(t)) - \mathcal{K}_3(t, H_2(t)) \| = \|(-(\mu + \alpha_5 + \alpha_6))(H_1(t) - H_2(t))\| \tag{13}$$

$$\leq \underbrace{|\mu + \alpha_5 + \alpha_6|}_{L_3} \|H_1(t) - H_2(t)\| \tag{14}$$

$$\| \mathcal{K}_4(t, D_1(t)) - \mathcal{K}_3(t, D_2(t)) \| = \|(-\mu)(D_1(t) - D_2(t))\| \tag{15}$$

$$\leq \underbrace{|\mu|}_{L_4} \|D_1(t) - D_2(t)\| \tag{16}$$

$$\| \mathcal{K}_5(t, R_1(t)) - \mathcal{K}_5(t, R_2(t)) \| = \|(-\mu)(R_1(t) - R_2(t))\| \tag{17}$$

$$\leq \underbrace{|\mu|}_{L_5} \|R_1(t) - R_2(t)\| \tag{18}$$

$$\| \mathcal{K}_6(t, S_1(t)) - \mathcal{K}_6(t, S_2(t)) \| = \left\| \frac{\alpha_1 (E(t) + I(t) + D(t) + H(t))(S_1(t) - S_2(t))}{N(t)} \right\| \tag{19}$$

$$\leq \underbrace{\sup_t \left| \alpha_1 \left(1 - \frac{S(t)}{N(t)} - \frac{R(t)}{N(t)} \right) \right|}_{L_6} \|S_1(t) - S_2(t)\| \tag{20}$$

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we can get the following system of recursive relations using Picard's iteration,

$$E_n(t) = \frac{2(1-\phi)}{(2-\phi)M(\phi)}\mathcal{K}_1(t, E_{n-1}(t)) + \frac{2\phi}{(2-\phi)M(\phi)}\int_0^t \mathcal{K}_1(\tau, E_{n-1}(\tau))d\tau$$

$$I_n(t) = \frac{2(1-\phi)}{(2-\phi)M(\phi)}\mathcal{K}_2(t, I_{n-1}(t)) + \frac{2\phi}{(2-\phi)M(\phi)}\int_0^t \mathcal{K}_2(\tau, I_{n-1}(\tau))d\tau$$

$$H_n(t) = \frac{2(1-\phi)}{(2-\phi)M(\phi)}\mathcal{K}_3(t, H_{n-1}(t)) + \frac{2\phi}{(2-\phi)M(\phi)}\int_0^t \mathcal{K}_3(\tau, H_{n-1}(\tau))d\tau$$

$$D_n(t) = \frac{2(1-\phi)}{(2-\phi)M(\phi)}\mathcal{K}_4(t, D_{n-1}(t)) + \frac{2\phi}{(2-\phi)M(\phi)}\int_0^t \mathcal{K}_4(\tau, D_{n-1}(\tau))d\tau$$

$$R_n(t) = \frac{2(1-\phi)}{(2-\phi)M(\phi)}\mathcal{K}_5(t, R_{n-1}(t)) + \frac{2\phi}{(2-\phi)M(\phi)}\int_0^t \mathcal{K}_5(\tau, R_{n-1}(\tau))d\tau$$

$$S_n(t) = \frac{2(1-\phi)}{(2-\phi)M(\phi)}\mathcal{K}_6(t, S_{n-1}(t)) + \frac{2\phi}{(2-\phi)M(\phi)}\int_0^t \mathcal{K}_6(\tau, S_{n-1}(\tau))d\tau \quad (21)$$

Now, using Lipschitz's inequalities and above recursive equations (21),

$$\| \Delta E_n(t) \| = \| E_n(t) - E_{n-1}(t) \| \quad (22)$$

$$\leq \frac{2(1-\phi)L_1}{(2-\phi)M(\phi)} \| \Delta E_{n-1}(t) \| + \frac{2\phi L_1}{(2-\phi)M(\phi)}\int_0^t \| \Delta E_{n-1}(\tau) \| d\tau \quad (23)$$

$$\leq \left(\frac{2L_1(1-\phi+\phi T_{\text{sup}})}{M(\phi)(2-\phi)}\right) \|\Delta E_{n-1}(t)\| \quad (24)$$

Similarly,

$$\| \Delta I_n(t) \| = \| I_n(t) - I_{n-1}(t) \| \leq \left(\frac{2L_2(1-\phi+\phi T_{\text{sup}})}{M(\phi)(2-\phi)}\right) \|\Delta I_{n-1}(t)\| \quad (25)$$

$$\| \Delta H_n(t) \| = \| H_n(t) - H_{n-1}(t) \| \leq \left(\frac{2L_3(1-\phi+\phi T_{\text{sup}})}{M(\phi)(2-\phi)}\right) \|\Delta H_{n-1}(t)\| \quad (26)$$

$$\| \Delta D_n(t) \| = \| D_n(t) - D_{n-1}(t) \| \leq \left(\frac{2L_4(1-\phi+\phi T_{\text{sup}})}{M(\phi)(2-\phi)}\right) \|\Delta D_{n-1}(t)\| \quad (27)$$

$$\| \Delta R_n(t) \| = \| R_n(t) - R_{n-1}(t) \| \leq \left(\frac{2L_5(1-\phi+\phi T_{\text{sup}})}{M(\phi)(2-\phi)}\right) \|\Delta R_{n-1}(t)\| \quad (28)$$

$$\| \Delta S_n(t) \| = \| S_n(t) - S_{n-1}(t) \| \leq \left(\frac{2L_6(1-\phi+\phi T_{\text{sup}})}{M(\phi)(2-\phi)}\right) \|\Delta S_{n-1}(t)\| \quad (29)$$

Further, it can be observed using telescopic sum that,

$$E_m(t) = E_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{m} \Delta E_n(t), \; I_m(t) = I_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{m} \Delta I_n(t)$$

$$H_m(t) = H_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{m} \Delta H_n(t) \; D_m(t) = D_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{m} \Delta D_n(t)$$

$$R_m(t) = R_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{m} \Delta R_n(t), S_m(t) = S_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{m} \Delta S_n(t)$$

This proves the result.

Theorem 1. (Existence of solution) *There exists a solution of the fractional system (2) provided if* $0 \le \theta < 1$. *where* $\theta = \left(\frac{2L(1-\phi+\phi T_{sup})}{M(\phi)(2-\phi)}\right)$ *and* $L = sup\{L_1, L_2, L_3, L_4, L_5, L_6\}$.

Proof. The functions $E(t), I(t), H(t), D(t), R(t), S(t)$ are bounded and respective kernels satisfy the Lipschitz's conditions. Using the recursive formula for the inequalities (22) - (29),

$$\| \Delta E_n(t) \| \le \left(\frac{2L_1(1-\phi+\phi T_{\text{sup}})}{M(\phi)(2-\phi)}\right)^{n-1} \|\Delta E_1(t)\|$$

$$\le \theta^{n-1} \| \Delta E_1(t) \|$$

$$\xrightarrow{n\to\infty} 0, \text{ as } 0 \le \theta < 1.$$

Similarly, it can be observed that each of the following sequences of,

$$\| \Delta I_n(t) \|, \| \Delta H_n(t) \|, \| \Delta D_n(t) \|, \| \Delta R_n(t) \|, \| \Delta S_n(t) \| \xrightarrow{n\to\infty} 0, \text{ as } 0 \le \theta < 1.$$

This proves the solutions of the fractional system exist and are of the form mentioned in (7).

Lemma 3. (Nwajeri, Panle, Omame, Obi, & Onyenegecha, 2022) *Consider the initial value problem* ${}^{CF}\psi_t^{\phi}(t) = \mathcal{K}(t, \psi(t)),\ \psi(0) = \psi_0$ *and suppose that there exists a Lipschitz's constant* $L \ge 0$ *such that*

$$|\mathcal{K}(t, \psi_1(t)) - \mathcal{K}(t, \psi_2(t))| \le L|\psi_1(t) - \psi_2(t)|, \tag{30}$$

for all $t \in J = [0, b]$ *and* $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in C(J, \mathbb{R})$. *If* $L\left(\frac{2(1-\phi)+2\phi T_{\text{sup}}}{(2-\phi)M(\phi)}\right) < 1$, *Then, there exists a unique solution of the initial value problem on* $J = [0, b]$.

Proof. The uniqueness of the solution to this initial value problem is the consequence of the Banach-Fixed point theorem. Let $C(J, \mathbb{R})$ denotes the Banach space of all the continuous functions from J to $\mathbb{R}$ with infinity-norm.

$$\| f \|_\infty = \sup_t \{|f(t)| \, | \, t \in J = [0, b]\}, \quad \forall f \in C(J, \mathbb{R})$$

Consider the mapping $\omega: C^\theta(J, \mathbb{R}) \to C^\theta(J, \mathbb{R})$ defined by,

$$\omega\psi(t) = \psi_0 + \frac{2(1-\phi)}{(2-\phi)M(\phi)}(\mathcal{K}(t) - \mathcal{K}(0)) + \frac{2\phi}{(2-\phi)M(\phi)}\int_0^t \mathcal{K}(\tau)d\tau$$

Assume $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in C^\theta(J, \mathbb{R})$ and for each $t \in J$,

$$|\omega\psi_1(t) - \omega\psi_2(t)| \leq \frac{2(1-\phi)}{(2-\phi)M(\phi)}|\mathcal{K}(t, \psi_1(t)) - \mathcal{K}(t, \psi_2(t))|$$

$$+ \frac{2\phi}{(2-\phi)M(\phi)}\int_0^t |\mathcal{K}(\tau, \psi_1(\tau)) - \mathcal{K}(\tau, \psi_2(\tau))|d\tau$$

Using inequality (30) and for each $t \in J$,

$$|\omega\psi_1(t) - \omega\psi_2(t)| \leq \frac{2L(1-\phi)}{(2-\phi)M(\phi)}|\psi_1(t) - \psi_2(t)|$$

$$+ \frac{2L\phi}{(2-\phi)M(\phi)}\int_0^t |\psi_1(\tau) - \psi_2(\tau)|d\tau$$

$$\leq L\left(\frac{2(1-\phi)}{(2-\phi)M(\phi)} + \frac{2\phi T_{\sup}}{(2-\phi)M(\phi)}\right)|\psi_1(t) - \psi_2(t)|$$

Taking supremum over $t \in J$,

$$\| \omega\psi_1 - \omega\psi_2 \|_\infty \leq L\left(\frac{2(1-\phi) + 2\phi T_{\sup}}{(2-\phi)M(\phi)}\right) \| \psi_1 - \psi_2 \|_\infty$$

Thus, ω is a contraction mapping if $0 \leq L\left(\frac{2(1-\phi)+2\phi T_{\sup}}{(2-\phi)M(\phi)}\right) < 1$. Consequently, by Banach-fixed point theorem, the operator ω has a fixed point say (ψ) i.e. $(\omega\psi = \psi)$ which is the required unique solution of the initial value problem on $C(J, \mathbb{R})$.

Theorem 2. (Uniqueness of solution) *The solution (as mentioned in system 7) of the fractional system (2) is unique.*

Proof. We use Lemma 3 to show the uniqueness of the solution (as mentioned in system 7) for the fractional system (2). By Lemma 2, kernels $\mathcal{K}_1, \mathcal{K}_2, \mathcal{K}_3, \mathcal{K}_4, \mathcal{K}_5$, and $\mathcal{K}_6$ satisfies the Lipschitz's conditions with constants L_1, L_2, L_3, L_4, L_5, and L_6, respectively. Letting $L =$

$\sup\{L_1, L_2, L_3, L_4, L_5, L_6\}$ and $\theta = \left(\frac{2(1-\phi)+2\phi T_{\sup}}{(2-\phi)M(\phi)}\right)$. Since solution exists if $0 \leq \theta < 1$ (hypothesis of existence Theorem 1). Thus, the hypothesis of the Lemma 3 is satisfied for each of the equations (with initial values) of the fractional-order system (2). Hence, using Lemma 3, we conclude that the fractional-order system (2) has a unique solution if $0 \leq \theta < 1$.

6. Stability

This section obtains the appropriate stability condition for the generalized Ulam-Hyers-Rassias stability of the proposed fractional-order model.

6.1. Generalized Ulam-Hyers-Rassias stability

Definition 5. (Nwajeri *et al.*, 2021, 2022; Liu, Fečkan, O'Regan, & Wang, 2019) *The fractional-order model* ${}^{CF}D_t^{\phi}\psi(t) = \mathcal{K}(t, \psi(t))$ *is generalized Ulam-Hyers-Rassias (UHR) stable in accordance with* $\mathcal{Y}(t) \in H^1[J, \mathbb{R}^+]$ *if there exists a positive real value* ε_{ϕ} *(depending upon* ϕ*) such that for every solution* ψ *of the following inequality,*

$$\left| {}^{CF}D_t^{\phi}\psi(t) - \mathcal{K}\big(t, \psi(t)\big)\right| \leq \mathcal{Y}(t),$$

There exists a solution $\tilde{\psi} \in H^1(J, \mathbb{R}^+)$ *of the model with the following,*

$$\left|\psi(t) - \tilde{\psi}(t)\right| \leq \varepsilon_{\phi}\mathcal{Y}(t) \qquad \text{for each } t \in J.$$

Lemma 4. *The fractional-order model* ${}^{CF}D_t^{\phi}\psi(t) = \mathcal{K}(t, \psi(t))$ *(satisfying Lipschitz's condition with Lipschitz constant L depending upon kernel* $\mathcal{K}$*) is generalized UHR-stable in accordance with non-decreasing positive function* $\mathcal{Y}$ *if,*

$$0 \leq \theta = \frac{2L\big((1-\phi)+\phi T_{sup}\big)}{(2-\phi)M(\phi)} < 1 \tag{31}$$

Proof. We let $\mathcal{Y}(t)$ represent any arbitrary positive function, then there exists a positive real number η such that,

$$\left(2(1-\phi)\mathcal{Y}(t)+2\phi\int_0^t \mathcal{Y}(\tau)d\tau\right)\leq \eta\mathcal{Y}(t). \tag{32}$$

Since the kernel of the fractional-order model satisfies Lipschitz's condition with Lipschitz's constant L (depending upon kernel $\mathcal{K}$) i.e.

$$\left|\mathcal{K}(t,\psi(t))-\mathcal{K}(t,\tilde{\psi}(t))\right|\leq L\left|\psi(t)-\tilde{\psi}(t)\right| \tag{33}$$

So, using the existence and uniqueness theorem of the model, there exists a unique solution say $(\tilde{\psi})$ of the fractional-order model of the following form,

$$\tilde{\psi}(t)=\tilde{\psi}_0+\frac{2(1-\phi)}{(2-\phi)M(\phi)}\mathcal{K}(t,\tilde{\psi}(t))+\frac{2\phi}{(2-\phi)M(\phi)}\int_0^t \mathcal{K}(\tau,\tilde{\psi}(\tau))d\tau \tag{34}$$

Assume that ψ is the solution of the following inequality,

$$\left|{}^{CF}D_t^{\phi}\psi(t)-\mathcal{K}(t,\psi(t))\right|\leq \mathcal{Y}(t), \tag{35}$$

Applying fractional-order integral operator,

$$\left|\psi(t)-{}^{CF}\mathcal{I}_t^{\phi}\mathcal{K}(t,\psi(t))\right|\leq \frac{\eta\mathcal{Y}(t)}{(2-\phi)M(\phi)} \tag{36}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\psi(t)-\tilde{\psi}_0-\frac{2(1-\phi)}{(2-\phi)M(\phi)}\mathcal{K}(t,\psi(t))-\frac{2\phi}{(2-\phi)M(\phi)}\int_0^t \mathcal{K}(\tau,\psi(\tau))d\tau\right|\\ \leq \frac{\eta\mathcal{Y}(t)}{(2-\phi)M(\phi)}\end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

Now, Consider the following,

$$\left|\psi(t)-\tilde{\psi}(t)\right|$$

$$=\left|\psi(t)-\tilde{\psi}_0-\frac{2(1-\phi)}{(2-\phi)M(\phi)}\mathcal{K}(t,\tilde{\psi}(t))-\frac{2\phi}{(2-\phi)M(\phi)}\int_0^t \mathcal{K}(\tau,\tilde{\psi}(\tau))d\tau\right|$$

$$=\left|\begin{matrix}\psi(t)-\tilde{\psi}_0-\frac{2(1-\phi)}{(2-\phi)M(\phi)}\mathcal{K}(t,\tilde{\psi}(t))-\frac{2\phi}{(2-\phi)M(\phi)}\int_0^t \mathcal{K}(\tau,\tilde{\psi}(\tau))d\tau\\ +\frac{2(1-\phi)}{(2-\phi)M(\phi)}\mathcal{K}(t,\psi(t))+\frac{2\phi}{(2-\phi)M(\phi)}\int_0^t \mathcal{K}(\tau,\psi(\tau))d\tau\\ -\frac{2(1-\phi)}{(2-\phi)M(\phi)}\mathcal{K}(t,\psi(t))-\frac{2\phi}{(2-\phi)M(\phi)}\int_0^t \mathcal{K}(\tau,\psi(\tau))d\tau\end{matrix}\right|$$

$$\leq \left|\psi(t) - \tilde{\psi}_0 - \frac{2(1-\phi)}{(2-\phi)M(\phi)}\mathcal{K}(t,\psi(t)) - \frac{2\phi}{(2-\phi)M(\phi)}\int_0^t \mathcal{K}(\tau,\psi(\tau))d\tau\right|$$

$$+\frac{2(1-\phi)}{(2-\phi)M(\phi)}\left|(\mathcal{K}(t,\psi(t)) - \mathcal{K}(t,\tilde{\psi}(t))\right|$$

$$+\frac{2\phi}{(2-\phi)M(\phi)}\int_0^t \left|(\mathcal{K}(\tau,\psi(\tau)) - \mathcal{K}(\tau,\tilde{\psi}(\tau))\right|d\tau$$

Using Lipschitz's inequality (33), we get the following:

$$\left|\psi(t) - \tilde{\psi}(t)\right|$$

$$\leq \left|\psi(t) - \tilde{\psi}_0 - \frac{2(1-\phi)}{(2-\phi)M(\phi)}\mathcal{K}(t,\psi(t)) - \frac{2\phi}{(2-\phi)M(\phi)}\int_0^t \mathcal{K}(\tau,\psi(\tau))d\tau\right|$$

$$+\frac{2L(1-\phi)}{(2-\phi)M(\phi)}\left|\psi(t) - \tilde{\psi}(t)\right| + \frac{2L\phi}{(2-\phi)M(\phi)}\int_0^t \left|\psi(\tau) - \tilde{\psi}(\tau)\right|d\tau$$

$$= \left|\psi(t) - \tilde{\psi}_0 - \frac{2(1-\phi)}{(2-\phi)M(\phi)}\mathcal{K}(t,\psi(t)) - \frac{2\phi}{(2-\phi)M(\phi)}\int_0^t \mathcal{K}(\tau,\psi(\tau))d\tau\right|$$

$$+\frac{2L\big((1-\phi)+\phi T_{\sup}\big)}{(2-\phi)M(\phi)}\left|\psi(t) - \tilde{\psi}(t)\right|$$

Using (31) and (37), we get,

$$\left|\psi(t) - \tilde{\psi}(t)\right| \leq \frac{\eta\mathcal{Y}(t)}{(2-\phi)M(\phi)} + \theta\left|\psi(t) - \tilde{\psi}(t)\right|$$

$$\leq \frac{\eta\mathcal{Y}(t)}{(1-\theta)(2-\phi)M(\phi)} = \varepsilon_\phi\mathcal{Y}(t)$$

7. Numerical Scheme

In this section, a new numerical scheme is obtained to solve numerically the fractional-order system representing the proposed model. Consider the fractional-order equation ${}^{CF}D_t^{\phi}\psi(t) = \mathcal{K}(t,\psi(t))$, applying the fundamental theorem of fractional calculus yields; an iterative scheme is obtained as follows:

$$\psi(t_{n+1}) - \psi(0) = \frac{2(1-\phi)}{(2-\phi)M(\phi)}\mathcal{K}(t_n,\psi(t_n)) + \frac{2\phi}{(2-\phi)M(\phi)}\int_0^{t_{n+1}} \mathcal{K}(\tau,\psi(\tau))d\tau \quad (38)$$

Replacing value of $\psi(t_n)$, we get,

$$\psi(t_{n+1}) = \psi(t_n) + \frac{2(1-\phi)}{(2-\phi)M(\phi)}[\mathcal{K}(t_n, \psi(t_n)) - \mathcal{K}(t_{n-1}, \psi(t_{n-1}))]$$

$$+ \frac{2\phi}{(2-\phi)M(\phi)} \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} \mathcal{K}(\tau, \psi(\tau)) d\tau \quad (39)$$

Considering uniform step-size h along the time axis, the integral can be approximated as in the classical two-step Adams-Bashforth scheme as follows:

$$\int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} \mathcal{K}(\tau, \psi(\tau)) d\tau \approx \frac{3h}{2}\mathcal{K}(t_n, \psi(t_n)) - \frac{h}{2}\mathcal{K}(t_{n-1}, \psi(t_{n-1})) \quad (40)$$

Substituting the value of approximated integral (40) into the above equation (39),

$$\psi(t_{n+1}) = \psi(t_n) + \frac{2(1-\phi)}{(2-\phi)M(\phi)}[\mathcal{K}(t_n, \psi(t_n)) - \mathcal{K}(t_{n-1}, \psi(t_{n-1}))]$$

$$+ \frac{2\phi}{(2-\phi)M(\phi)}\left[\frac{3h}{2}\mathcal{K}(t_n, \psi(t_n)) - \frac{h}{2}\mathcal{K}(t_{n-1}, \psi(t_{n-1}))\right]$$

Since, $M(\phi)$ is a normalizing function with $M(0) = M(1) = 1$. So, let us assume $M(\phi) = (2 - \phi^2)/(2 - \phi)$ which satisfies $M(0) = M(1) = 1$. Thus,

$$\psi(t_{n+1}) = \psi(t_n) + \frac{2+(3h-2)\phi}{2-\phi^2}\mathcal{K}(t_n, \psi(t_n)) - \frac{2+(h-2)\phi}{2-\phi^2}\mathcal{K}(t_{n-1}, \psi(t_{n-1})) \quad (41)$$

Hence, the fractional-order model (3) has the following numerical scheme to obtain the numerical solutions.

$$\vec{\psi}(t_{n+1}) = \vec{\psi}(t_n) + \frac{2+(3h-2)\phi}{2-\phi^2}\vec{\mathcal{K}}(t_n, \vec{\psi}(t_n)) - \frac{2+(h-2)\phi}{2-\phi^2}\vec{\mathcal{K}}(t_{n-1}, \vec{\psi}(t_{n-1})) \quad (42)$$

7.1. Numerical Simulations

This section uses MATLAB software to perform the numerical simulations from the obtained numerical scheme (42). The total initial population is assumed to be $N(0) = 100$ and the initial values of the compartments are assumed to be $S(0) = 80, E(0) = 10, I(0) = 5, H(0) = 3, D(0) = 2, R(0) = 0$. The used values of the parameters are as follows: $B = 10$, $\mu = 0.1$, $\alpha_1 = 0.75$, $\alpha_2 = 0.85$, $\alpha_3 = 0.425$, $\alpha_4 = 0.2$, $\alpha_5 = 0.15$, and $\alpha_6 = 0.25$.

Figure 2 shows the transmission dynamics of each compartment listed in the model over time. The behavior is smooth, and it validates the theoretical results. Figure 3 shows the behavior for different values of fractional order. For relatively small values of the fractional order, the number of infectious individuals reaches the exact peak of approximately 19 cases but takes a relatively long time.

Figure 4 shows the behavior of the Ebola infectious cases for the different values of the contact rate over time. The relatively more contact rate of susceptible with the pathogen carriers individuals will surge the number of Ebola infectious individuals. The contact rate is the crucial parameter for this model that directly influences the cases of Ebola. It shows that the most efficient way to control the spread of EBOV infection is to control the contact rate parameter.

Figure 5 and Figure 6 illustrate the behavior of the Susceptible $S(t)$ and Deceased $D(t)$ for various values of contact rate α_1 over time, respectively.

In Table 2, the CPU time usage is listed with the different values of step size Δt and iterations n of the mentioned numerical scheme for this proposed model. The table makes it clear that the proposed strategy increases efficiency while taking less time.

8. Conclusions

In this study, an epidemic model for the Ebola disease is formulated using the Caputo-Fabrizio fractional derivative. The basic reproduction number ($\mathcal{R}_0$) is calculated using the next-generation matrix approach. It analyzed the condition for the existence and uniqueness of the model’s solution using the Fixed-point theorem approach. Additionally, the stability condition for the suggested model's generalized Ulam-Hyers-Rassias stability is found. It illustrates how the approximate solution of the proposed model differs for integer and fractional orders in numerical simulations. Additionally, it displays the behavior of Ebola infections in deceased and vulnerable individuals at various contact rate values. In future, the authors can study this approach for other infectious diseases to get better insight about the transmission of the diseases whose outcomes may help medical fraternity to work effectively.

9. Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

10. Credit authorship contribution statement

Shah Nita: gave the concept, supervision. **Chaudhary Kapil:** wrote the manuscript, methodology, analyzed model mathematically and software.

11. Acknowledgment

The authors thank Editor of the journal and blind reviewers for their constructive comments on the research. Second author, Kapil Chaudhary is supported by CSIR-JRF(Council of Scientific and Industrial Research- Junior research fellowship) File No. 09/0070(13467)/2022-EMR-I.

References

Bisimwa, P., Biamba, C., Aborode, A. T., Cakwira, H., & Akilimali, A. (2022). Ebola virus disease outbreak in the Democratic Republic of the Congo: A mini-review. *Annals* *of Medicine and Surgery*, 80, 104213.

Diekmann, O., Heesterbeek, J. A. P., & Metz, J. A. (1990). On the definition and the computation of the basic reproduction ratio R0 in models for infectious diseases in heterogeneous populations. *Journal of mathematical biology*, *28*(4), 365-382.

Feldmann, H., Sprecher, A., & Geisbert, T. W. (2020). Ebola. *New England Journal of Medicine*, *382*(19), 1832-1842.

Gao, F., Li, X., Li, W., & Zhou, X. (2021). Stability analysis of a fractional-order novel hepatitis B virus model with immune delay based on Caputo-Fabrizio derivative. *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, *142*, 110436.

Hammouch, Z., Rasul, R. R., Ouakka, A., & Elazzouzi, A. (2022). Mathematical analysis and numerical simulation of the Ebola epidemic disease in the sense of conformable derivative. *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, *158*, 112006.

Hussain, A., Baleanu, D., & Adeel, M. (2020). Existence of solution and stability for the fractional order novel coronavirus (nCoV-2019) model. *Advances in Difference Equations*, *2020*(1), 1-9.

Khajehsaeid, H. (2018). A comparison between fractional-order and integer-order differential finite deformation viscoelastic models: Effects of filler content and loading rate on material parameters. *International Journal of Applied Mechanics*, 10(09), 1850099.

Liu, K., Fečkan, M., O'Regan, D., & Wang, J. (2019). Hyers–Ulam stability and existence of solutions for differential equations with Caputo–Fabrizio fractional derivative. *Mathematics*, *7*(4), 333.

Liu, K., Fečkan, M., & Wang, J. (2020). A Fixed-Point Approach to the Hyers–Ulam

Stability of Caputo–Fabrizio Fractional Differential Equations. *Mathematics*, *8*(4), 647.

Losada, J., & Nieto, J. J. (2015). Properties of a new fractional derivative without singular kernel. *Progress in Fractional Differentiation and Application*, *1*(2), 87-92.

Nwajeri, U. K., Omame, A., & Onyenegecha, C. P. (2021). Analysis of a fractional order model for HPV and CT co-infection. *Results in Physics, 28*, 104643.

Nwajeri, U. K., Panle, A. B., Omame, A., Obi, M. C., & Onyenegecha, C. P. (2022). On the fractional order model for HPV and Syphilis using non–singular kernel. *Results in Physics*, *37*, 105463.

Otunuga, O. M. (2021). Estimation of epidemiological parameters for COVID-19 cases using a stochastic SEIRS epidemic model with vital dynamics. *Results in Physics*, *28*, 104664.

Shah, N. H., Patel, Z. A., & Yeolekar, B. M. (2019). Vertical dynamics of Ebola with media impact. *Journal of King Saud University-Science*, *31*(4), 567-574.

Shaikh, A. S., & Nisar, K. S. (2019). Transmission dynamics of fractional order Typhoid fever model using Caputo–Fabrizio operator. *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, *128*, 355-365.

Singh, H. (2020). Analysis for fractional dynamics of Ebola virus model. *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, *138*, 109992.

Singh, H., Baleanu, D., Singh, J., & Dutta, H. (2021). Computational study of fractional order smoking model. *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, 142, 110440.

Singh, H., Srivastava, H. M., Hammouch, Z., & Nisar, K. S. (2021). Numerical simulation and stability analysis for the fractional-order dynamics of COVID-19. *Results in* *physics*, 20, 103722.

Solís-Pérez, J. E., Gómez-Aguilar, J. F., & Atangana, A. (2018). Novel numerical method for solving variable-order fractional differential equations with power, exponential and Mittag-Leffler laws. *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, *114*, 175-185.

Srivastava, H. M., & Saad, K. M. (2020). Numerical simulation of the fractal-fractional Ebola virus. *Fractal and Fractional*, 4(4), 49.

World Health Organization. (2014). *What we know about transmission of the ebola virus among humans*. World Health Organization. Retrieved August 4, 2022, from http://www.who.int/mediacentre/news/ebola/06-october-2014/en/

Zhang, Z., & Jain, S. (2020). Mathematical model of Ebola and Covid-19 with fractional differential operators: Non-Markovian process and class for virus pathogen in the environment. *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, *140*, 110175.

Figure



Figure 1 The flow diagram of the proposed model.



Figure 2 Smooth behavior of compartments over time using integer-order $\phi = 1$.



Figure 3 Effect of different values of fractional order ϕ on infectious individuals *I(t)* over time *t*.



Figure 4 Effect of the contact rate α_1 on infectious individuals *I(t)* over time *t* with integer-order $\phi = 1$.



Figure 5 Effect of the contact rate α_1 on susceptible individuals *S(t)* over time *t* with integer-order $\phi = 1$.



Figure 6 Effect of the contact rate α_1 on deceased individuals $D(t)$ over time t with integer order $\phi = 1$.

Parameter	Meaning
B	The birth rate of the population
α_1	Contact rate of susceptible with EBOV carriers
α_2	The transmission rate of exposed getting infectious from the Ebola
α_3	The transmission rate of infectious getting hospitalized
α_4	The transmission rate of infectious getting critically ill or deceased
α_5	The transmission rate of hospitalized getting critically ill or deceased
α_6	The transmission rate of hospitalized getting recovered
μ	The death rate of the population

Table 1 Meaning of parameters used in the proposed model.

Step size (Δt)	Number of iterations (n)	CPU time (s)
0.1	100	0.29
0.01	1000	0.34
0.001	10^4	0.41
0.0001	10^5	1.31
0.00001	10^6	2.39

Table 2 CPU time usage of the different values of Δt and n.